UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

NEW YORK CITY DISTRICT COUNCIL OF CARPENTERS PENSION FUND, NEW YORK CITY DISTRICT COUNCIL OF CARPENTERS WELFARE FUND, NEW YORK CITY DISTRICT COUNCIL OF CARPENTERS ANNUITY FUND, and NEW YORK CITY DISTRICT COUNCIL OF CARPENTERS APPRENTICESHIP, JOURNEYMAN RETRAINING, EDUCATIONAL AND INDUSTRY FUND, BY THEIR TRUSTEES FRANK SPENCER, DOUGLAS J. McCARRON, JOHN BALLANTYNE, PAUL TYZNAR, PAUL O'BRIEN, KEVIN M. O'CALLAGHAN, CATHERINE CONDON, DAVID MEBERG, Bryan WINTER, and JOHN DELOLLIS,

11-Civ-5474

ANSWER

Plaintiffs,

-against-

MICHAEL FORDE, JOHN GREANEY, JOSEPH OLIVIERI, BRIAN HAYES, MICHAEL MITCHELL, FINBAR O'NEILL, K.A.F.C.I., MICHAEL BRENNAN, TURBO ENTERPRISES, INC., TERENCE BUCKLEY, PITCOHN CONSTRUCTION ENTERPRISES, INC., GERARD McENTEE, PYRAMID ASSOCIATES CONSTRUCTION CORP., JAMES DUFFY, BRIAN CARSON, JOSEPH RUOCCO, JOHN STAMBERGER, and MICHAEL VIVENZIO,

Defendar	Defendants.	
		v

Defendants, PYRAMID ASSOCIATES CONSTRUCTION CORP. and JAMES DUFFY, by their attorney, NIALL MAC GIOLLABHUÍ, LAW OFFICES OF MICHAEL G. DOWD, answer the Complaint of Plaintiffs as follows:

1. Defendants deny the allegations pertaining to them set forth in paragraphs 1, 36-37, 45, 49-50, 55, 72, 112-124, and 127-136, inclusive. Defendants deny knowledge or

information sufficient to form a belief with respect to the truth of these remaining allegations set forth in these paragraphs.

- Defendants neither admit nor deny the allegations set forth in paragraphs
 3, 4, 110-111, and 126, inclusive, as they are not allegations of fact.
- 3. Defendants deny knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief with respect to the truth of the allegations set forth in paragraphs 5-25, 29-35, 38-44, 46-48, 51-54, 56-71, 73-96, 101-108, 138-142, 144-148, 150-154, 156-161, 163-168, 170-175, 177-182, 184-189, and 191-196, inclusive.
- 4. Defendants deny the allegations set forth in paragraphs 26-28, inclusive, except that Defendant JAMES DUFFY is a resident of the State of New York, and that Defendant PYRAMID ASSOCIATES CONSTRUCTION CORP. was organized under the laws of the State of New York and had its principal place of business at the location alleged.
 - 5. Defendants deny the allegations set forth in paragraphs 97-100, inclusive.
- 6. Defendants deny each allegation set forth in paragraphs 109, 125, 137, 143, 149, 155, 162, 169, 176, 183, and 190, inclusive, except as otherwise pleaded herein.

FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

7. Plaintiffs' action is barred by the statute of limitations.

SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

8. Plaintiffs' action is barred by the grievance procedure, including arbitration, mandated by the applicable collective bargaining agreements.

THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

9. Plaintiffs' action is barred by the doctrine of economic duress.

FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

10. Plaintiffs' action is barred by the doctrine of estoppel.

Defendants demand a trial by jury of all claims pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 38.

WHEREFORE, Defendants pray that the Court dismiss the Complaint and enter judgment in favor of Defendants, and that Defendants be awarded such other and further relief as this Court deems just and proper.

Dated: New York, New York October 31, 2011

NIALL MAC GIOLLABHUÍ (NM0281)

LAW OFFICES OF MICHAEL G. DOWD

Attorney for Defendants
PYRAMID ASSOCIATES

CONSTRUCTION CORP. and

JAMES DUFFY

425 Park Avenue, 26th Floor

New York, NY 10022

(212) 751-1640